



Policy on Progression in Doctoral Programmes

Policy owner

Graduate Studies

Approval date and bodyACEC 12th August 2020**Version 1.14**

1. INTRODUCTION & CONTEXT

The *Policy on Progression in Doctoral Programmes* defines specific guidelines for the formal progression of students on doctoral programmes. Each School can have locally defined requirements but the School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator must document their specific guidelines and criteria and inform students and supervisors of these requirements. The policy recognises good practice in monitoring doctoral student progression where it currently exists and establishes common norms and procedures designed to support the doctoral programmes and all those involved with them.

The aim of the *Policy on Progression in Doctoral Programmes* is to provide a framework supplemental to the *Academic Regulations* which articulates the practices and procedures associated with the transfer of students from Stage 1 to Stage 2 of the doctoral programme and the progression of students within Stage 2. It defines the mode of assessment, identifies the role and responsibilities of the Assessment Panel in managing and facilitating the process of formal progression, describes the implementation of Assessment Panel decisions, and outlines the mechanism available to students to appeal the decision of the Assessment Panel.

Further support for students and supervisors involved with doctoral programmes is provided by a range of instruments, including the *UCD Academic Regulations*, and the *Policy for Supervision of Research Degree Students*^s.

Available at <https://www.ucd.ie/governance/documentlibrary/>

All policies and policy related documents and forms are subject to amendment. Please refer to the UCD Governance Document Library website for the official, most recent version.

2. SCOPE & STRUCTURE OF POLICY

The *Policy on Progression in Doctoral Programmes* is structured across the following categories:

- **Roles and Responsibilities of the Assessment Panel** – details on the constitution of the Assessment Panel, the relationship between the Research Studies Panel and the Assessment Panel, the process for adjudication on formal progression, and the relationship of the Assessment Panel and its decision-making processes to Schools Doctoral Programme Co-ordinators and Governing Board.
- **Mode of Assessment** – guidelines on the type of assessment undertaken by students at the point of transfer from Stage 1 to Stage 2 and progression within Stage 2 and the timeframe within which such assessment takes place;
- **Implementation of Assessment Panel Decisions** – procedures for managing student transfer and progression and methods for reporting on successful/unsuccessful transfer and progression to the School, Governing Board and relevant University academic and administrative units.
- **Process for Student Appeal of the Assessment Panel Decision** – information on the process through which a student may appeal a decision of the Assessment Panel, the timeframe within which this process should take place, details regarding the composition of the Appeal Committee and guidelines for that committee, and information regarding the possible outcomes of the appeal process.

3. ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE ASSESSMENT PANEL

The Assessment Panel is responsible for formally assessing the progress of a student through their doctoral programme. Membership of the Assessment Panel is defined by the Head of School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator and may overlap with the Research Studies Panel. Each Head of School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator may establish either a single Assessment Panel for all students at the end of Stage 1 of a specific doctoral programme, or multiple Assessment Panels as and where appropriate. The Assessment Panel must have the experience and disciplinary expertise to conduct the assessment, and will normally consist of three members of the permanent academic staff of the University. The Assessment Panel may include a member of the adjunct or visiting staff of the University whose name has been entered on the Register of Approved Adjunct, Visiting and Affiliated Supervisors.⁴ Where a doctoral programme spans multiple institutions, the Assessment Panel may incorporate academic staff from participating institutions.

The Principal Supervisor, and any Co-Supervisors, shall not be members of the Assessment Panel, but shall play a major role in the transfer assessment process through the submission of a written report on the student's progress within Stage 1 or any formal assessment within Stage 2 recommended by the relevant Governing Board.

It is the responsibility of the Chair of the Assessment Panel to notify the Principal Supervisor of the timeframe within which the transfer assessment must take place. The Principal Supervisor, in consultation with the student and the Assessment Panel, will define a date on which the student must submit material for consideration of the transfer assessment. The student and Principal Supervisor will be notified of the outcome of the transfer assessment and the recommendation made to the Governing Board within one month of the date of submission of material.

⁴Information and guidelines on the appointment of Adjunct or Visiting Staff is available at <https://www.ucd.ie/governance/documentlibrary/>

Following the assessment, the Chair of the Assessment Panel is responsible for completing the **Doctoral Programme Assessment Report & Recommendation Form** (see Appendix I), which will be made available to the student, Principal Supervisor, Head of School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator.

4 MODE OF ASSESSMENT

To accommodate the academic diversity across the University, and the different types of research undertaken within doctoral programmes, the mode of assessment for the transfer from Stage 1 to Stage 2 must be flexible enough to capture and accommodate this range of diversity. At the same time, it is also essential that a standard of quality be maintained to ensure that the student is making satisfactory progress in pursuit of the aims and objectives of their research as agreed with the Principal Supervisor, any Co-supervisor(s) and the Research Studies Panel and documented in the Research and Professional Development Plan (RPDP).

The transfer assessment will take place within five trimesters from the date of registration for full-time students, and within seven trimesters from the date of registration for part-time students.

The following written reports are required for submission to the Assessment Panel at the point of the transfer assessment, and will form the basis of the decision of the Assessment Panel:

- *Supporting documentation*, as determined and documented by the School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator, such as examples of written work, draft chapter of the thesis, portfolio, evidence of successful completion of taught modules as required or recommended by the Principal Supervisor and/or Research Studies Panel
- *Progress Report by the Principal Supervisor*: a short report on the progress of the student within Stage 1 or any formal assessment within Stage 2 recommended by the relevant Governing Board.
- *Research and Professional Development Plan (RPDP)*: Part 1 and Part 2 of the RPDP Form, from the most recent Research Studies Panel (RSP) meeting, must be completed and signed by the student and members of the panel. The student should upload the signed document to their SISWeb account and submit a copy to their School as part of the Transfer Assessment submission.

An oral presentation by the student, and an interview with the student, must also form part of the process. The Assessment Panel may interview the Principal Supervisor (and Co-supervisor if relevant).

All relevant documentation should be kept on record by the School Office for 13 months after the date of a student's completion of the doctoral programme.

5. IMPLEMENTATION OF ASSESSMENT PANEL DECISIONS

The student and Principal Supervisor will be notified of the outcome of the assessment and the recommendation made to the relevant Governing Board i.e. the Graduate Research Board normally within one month of the date of the formal assessment. To this end, upon completion of the progression assessment, and normally within one month of the date of the formal assessment, the **Doctoral Programme Assessment Report & Recommendation Report** shall be circulated to the student, Principal Supervisor, Head of School, and where relevant Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator

As stipulated in the *Academic Regulations*, the Assessment Panel will make one of the following recommendations to the Graduate Research Board

- (i) that the student should progress to Stage 2 of the doctoral programme;
- (ii) that the student should not progress to Stage 2 of the doctoral programme, and that:

- a) the student should re-submit for assessment within six months (with an indication of the month/year in which the subsequent formal assessment of progress will take place);
- b) the student should apply to transfer to another graduate programme utilising, where appropriate, any credits already accumulated in Stage 1 of the doctoral programme;
- c) In line with the University's continuation policy and procedures, a recommendation be made to the Governing Board that the student's registration is discontinued

The Doctoral Programme Progression Assessment Recommendation shall be signed by the Chair of the Assessment Panel, the Head of School and the Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator, and the Chair of the Assessment Panel shall forward the recommendation to the Graduate Research Board.

The Graduate Research Board will formally approve the recommendation of the Assessment Panel and the student's record will be updated accordingly. When the recommendation has been formally approved, the relevant School Office will inform the student, the Principal Supervisor, the Chair of the Assessment Panel, the Head of School and the Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator. It is the responsibility of the relevant School Office to maintain a record of the relevant documentation for 13 months after the date of a student's completion of the doctoral programme.

6. OTHER FORMAL ASSESSMENTS OF PROGRESS

On the recommendation of the Head of School and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator, or the Chair of the Graduate Research Board, additional formal assessments to determine progression within Stage 2 of the doctoral programme may be undertaken, or such assessments may be a normal element of a doctoral programme. In such instances, students must be notified of the requirement for an additional formal assessment no less than one month before the date of submission for that assessment. The procedures for undertaking any additional formal assessments of progress should be the same as those used for the formal transfer assessment from Stage 1 to Stage 2, as detailed throughout this policy. The **Doctoral Programme Assessment Report & Recommendation Form** should be used for this purpose.

7. PROCESS FOR STUDENT APPEAL OF ANY FORMAL ASSESSMENT PANEL DECISION

A student who wishes to appeal a decision of the Assessment Panel may do so through the procedures for a formal assessment appeal determined in the UCD Policy on Assessment Appeals. Information relating to Assessment Appeals can be found at: <http://www.ucd.ie/appeals/>

8. VERSION HISTORY

Version	Date	Summary of Changes	Changed by
1.0	2 Mar 07	First draft	Michael Kennedy
1.1	5 Mar 07	Revision incorporating comments of Policy Working Group	Michael Kennedy
1.2	9 Mar 07	Further revision incorporating comments of Policy Working Group	Prof Julie Bermdsen Michael Kennedy
1.3	26 Mar 07	Further revision incorporating comments of UGPB and Policy Working	Prof Julie Bermdsen

1.4	28 Mar 07	Group Further revision incorporating comments of Policy Working Group	Michael Kennedy Prof Julie Bernsden
1.5	5 Apr 07	Further revision incorporating comments of ACEC	Michael Kennedy
1.6	22 Mar 11	Revision to include additional section on process for student appeal of the Assessment Panel decision. Additional minor editorial revisions and to reflect inclusion of professional doctoral programmes.	Michael Kennedy Clare O'Connor Cliona McGovern
1.7	15 Apr 11	Minor changes following discussion at UGPB on 14 April 2011 relating to inclusion of "and/or Doctoral Programme Co-ordinator" in instances where HoS is also mentioned.	Michael Kennedy Michael Kennedy
1.8	22 Jan 13	Changes following ongoing discussions by the Standing Committee on Continuation (a sub-committee of UUPB/UGPB).	Michael Kennedy
1.9	20 Mar 13	Changes following discussion with the Deputy Register for Graduate Studies.	Prof Julie Bernsden Michael Kennedy
1.10	25 Mar 13	Changes following discussion with the Graduate School Directors	Michael Kennedy
1.11	3 Oct 16	Changes following review of UCD Assessment Appeals Policy	Hanna Laitinen
1.12	7 Mar 19	Changes to bring policy in line with 2019 Academic Regulations	Emer Cunningham
1.13	9.Dec 20	Changes to bring clarity to the use of the RPDP in the Stage Transfer assessment	Emer Cunningham
1.14	Aug 20	Changes to reflect the approved practice from Sept 2020 in relation to student continuation and readmission	Emer Cunningham

Appendix I

Doctoral Programme Progression Assessment Report & Recommendation for the Graduate Research Board Form- [available here](#).